

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,645	06/20/2001	Vladimir Nikolaevich Pak	53196-00002	4188	
23932	7590 01/21/2003				
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, PC			EXAMINER		
1445 ROSS A SUITE 3200			UNGAR, SU	UNGAR, SUSAN NMN	
DALLAS, TX	X 75202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642 DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/885,645

Applicant(s)

Nikolaevich et al

Examiner

Ungar

Art Unit 1642

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover s	heet with	the correspondence address		
-	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>one</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply as to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6 e application to bec	6) MONTHS fi ome ABAND(om the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 31, 20	002		·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-fina	ıl.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 1, 5, and 7			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s)					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	A		is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims 2-4 and 6	ar	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified cop	oies not re	eceived.		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
	ntice of References Cited (PTO-892) Stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)					
_	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
٠, L	The state of the s	J. U Other.				

Page 2

Serial No: 09/888,077

Art Unit: 1642

•

1. The Amendment and Election filed October 31, 2002 (Paper Nos. 11 and 12) in response to the Office Action of October 1, 2002 (Paper No. 10) are acknowledged and have been entered. Previously pending claims 1-4 have been amended and new claims 5-7 have been added Previously pending claims 1, 5 and 7 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner under 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to non-elected inventions. Claims 2-4 and 6 are currently being examined.

- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 2-4, 6 in Paper No 11 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examination of all groups would not impose a serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because the inventions are classified differently, necessitating different searches in the US Patent shoes. Further, classification of subject matter is merely one indication of the burdensome nature of the search involved. The literature search, particularly relevant in this art, is not coextensive and different searches and issues are involved in the examination of each group. Applicant further argues that should the product claims be found allowable that the product and method claims must be rejoined. The argument is moot as the product claims are not allowed. For these reasons the restriction requirement is deemed to be proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 2. Upon review and reconsideration and in view of the newly amended and added claims, it is found that this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claim 2 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising polyene antibiotics with different structures and functions wherein the antibiotics are (a) amphotericin B, (b) nystatin, both of claim 2.

Serial No: 09/888,077

Art Unit: 1642

Claim 2 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising polysaccharides with different structures and functions wherein the polysaccharides are (a) polyglykine, (b) rheopolycglykine, (c) dextran, all of claims 4 and 6. It is noted that claims 4 and 6 appear to be identical.

- 3. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 4. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Serial No: 09/888,077

Art Unit: 1642

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Ungar, PhD whose telephone number is (703) 305-2181. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached at (703) 308-3995. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Serial No: 09/888,077

Art Unit: 1642

Effective, February 7, 1998, the Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1642.

Susan Ungar

Primary Patent Examiner

December 16, 2002